UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/572,962	03/21/2006	Noboru Shimizu	TMIA-001/00US 205676-2001	4515

58249   7590   09/29/2009
COOLEY GODWARD KRONISH LLP
ATTN: Patent Group
Suite 1100
777 - 6th Street, NW
WASHINGTON, DC 20001

EXAMINER
HOLT, ANDRIAE M

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
1616	

MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
09/29/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

PTOL-90A (Rev. 04/07)

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/572,962	SHIMIZU, NOBORU
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Andriae M. Holt	1616	

All Participants: **Status of Application:** _____

  (1) _Andriae M. Holt_.   (3) _____.

  (2) _Virginia Melton_.   (4) _____.

Date of Interview: _25 September 2009_   **Time:** _3:15 p.m._

Type of Interview:
☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant   ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes   ☐ No
  If Yes, provide a brief description: .

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

Contacted attorneys, Erich Veitenheimer and Yong Lu, to check the status of the application. Virginia Melton confirmed that no response had been filed to the Restriction Requirement mailed 3/9/2009. The case is abandoned per clients request.

Part III.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)